# Evaluation of the connection between urban surface and air temperature – case study in Szeged Yuchen Guo, János Unger, Tamás Gál Department of Climatology and Landscape Ecology, University of Szeged

**Study area:** Szeged, in the southern region of the Great Hungarian Plain (Fig. 1).

 $T_{air}$ : Obtained from the meteorological monitoring network in Szeged. All stations are representative of the Local Climate Zones (LCZs) within the city and the spatial pattern of the network is capable of revealing the spatial structure of the UHI (Fig. 1).



**LST, NDVI:** LST were retrieved from Landsat-8 (Fig. 2a) and MODIS (Fig. 2e-2h), NDVI were calculated from Landsat-8 (Fig. 2c). 13 cloud free days were selected to collect satellite data. After pairing LST with  $T_{air}$ , we got the final temperature data pairs (Table 1). The distance-weighted spatial averages of NDVI and LST<sub>Landsat-8</sub> were calculated (Fig. 2b & 2d).



**Fig. 1** Geographical location of Szeged and LCZ map of the study area with station sites of the urban meteorological network

**Statistical Methods:** Pearson correlation coefficient (r), root-mean-square error(RMSE), mean-absolute error (MAE) and linear regression models.

## **Connection between T<sub>air</sub> and LST (2017-2019)**

Landsat 8 40 Tair = 0.8828\*LST - 1.6861

## 45 Tair = 0.0075\*LOT 0.247

Fig. 2 Examples of spatial patterns of LST and NDVI

 Table 1 Information on satellite data

Data source	Resolution	Observation time (UTC)	Amount of pairs of T <sub>air</sub> and LST	
			2016	2017-2019
LST <sub>Landsat-8</sub>	100 m	9:27	91	167
LST <sub>MODIS-dav</sub>	1000 m	10:54, 12:36	181	326
LST <sub>MODIS-night</sub>	1000 m	1:30, 20:24	160	179
NDVI <sub>Landsat-8</sub>	30 m	9:27	91	167

#### Discussion

40

4.5 3.96 2.97



![](_page_0_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_19.jpeg)

 $T_{air}$  had a strong correlation with both LST<sub>Landsat-8</sub> and LST<sub>MODIS</sub> during daytime (r=0.89). However, the errors between  $T_{air}$  and LST<sub>Landsat-8</sub> were relatively larger, compared with LST<sub>MODIS</sub>.

LST<sub>Landsat-8</sub> (9:30) has a larger errors with T<sub>air</sub>, compared with LST<sub>MODIS-day</sub> (11:00-12:40). On the other hand, the models based on LST<sub>Landsat-8</sub> can reduce errors more effectively ( $\Delta$ RMSE and  $\Delta$ MAE).

The correlation between  $T_{air}$  and  $LST_{MODIS}$  was stronger at night (r=0.97) compared with daytime.

7 ں

![](_page_0_Figure_23.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_24.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_25.jpeg)

Original (2017-2019) and Estimated (2016) Error analysis

Both unary (LST) and binary

The relationship between LST and  $T_{air}$  has obvious spatial and

![](_page_0_Figure_29.jpeg)

(LST and NDVI) linear regression models could effectively reduce errors between  $T_{air}$  and LST. But NDVI could not enhance the accurate of prediction models.

- All models can perform well, especially during nighttime with an error less 1.5°C.
- The addition of NDVI into the linear regression models did not significantly improve the accuracy of the models, and even had a negative effect.

temporal variability. LST is higher than  $T_{air}$  during daytime, and the opposite is true at night. The difference between  $T_{air}$  and LST is relatively higher in LCZ-2, LCZ-3 and LCZ-8 with more artificial surfaces, compared with other LCZs with more vegetation.

### Conclusions

- There is a strong correlation between  $T_{air}$  and LST throughout the day, with the stronger correlation and smaller errors during the nighttime compared with daytime.
- The satellite data source and its observation time, as well as the heterogeneity of the urban surface can affect the analysis results of the relationship between  $T_{air}$  and LST.

46. MTN – Tudomány és tradíció a meteorológiában 2020. november 19.