

Drought in Flanders 2018

Manu Steens – Budapest - Hungary

Content

- ▶ **Experiences & practices in Flanders**
- ▶ **Indicators and the process**
- ▶ **Conclusion**

Experiences & practices in Flanders

- ▶ See presentation “How to manage drought: case of the Flemish “Drought Commission”

Indicators and the process

- ▶ Different target groups, different indicators
 - Project Group: operational indicators
 - × Scientific indicators, applied to the situation in Flanders
 - × Continuous screening
 - The Committee
 - × Guided discussion about the interpretation of the indicators
 - × Decision about the advise for the provinces
 - × Creation of the indicators for the civilians
 - The people of Flanders: strategic indicators
 - × Explanative indicators for the provinces and of Flanders
 - × Complemented with rules induced by the local government

Indicators and the process

▶ The indicators push the process forward:

→ It becomes PDCA:

× Plan/Check:

→ legislation gets adapted

→ Indicators and process get evaluated

× Do/act:

→ New indicators are considered

→ Measurements are done

→ Indicators are reported and interpreted

→ Interpretations are used to create police-regulation of the local government

× Restart




Conclusion

- ▶ Good indicators and measurement are the key !
 - They drive the process
 - They deliver the knowledge
 - They are the conditions for the regulation.

Suggestion of criteria

- ▶ The indicators should be
 - **P**redictive
 - **R**elevant
 - **A**ctionable
 - **G**enuine
 - **M**eaningful
 - **A**ccurate
 - **T**imely
 - **I**ndependent
 - **C**heap

To be continued



To be
continued...